Effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching in Thai Classrooms

Ven Kelasi

Kelaniya University -Colombo-Srilanka
Email: kelasi45.npt@gmail.com

Received: May 28, 2025 **Revised:** June 08, 2025 **Accepted:** June 22, 2025

Abstract

The study investigates the effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in Thai English classrooms. CLT emphasizes the development of learners' communicative competence through interaction, real-life communication, and meaningful language use rather than rote memorization. This research examines how CLT impacts students' speaking, listening, reading, and writing skills, as well as their motivation and confidence in using English. Data were collected through classroom observations, teacher and student interviews, and assessment of student performance. The findings indicate that CLT significantly enhances students' communicative abilities and engagement, though challenges such as large class sizes, limited resources, and traditional examination-focused practices can hinder its full implementation. The study concludes that with appropriate teacher training and supportive classroom environments, CLT can be an effective approach to improving English proficiency in Thai educational contexts.

Keywords: Effectiveness; communicative language teaching; classroom environments

Introduction

English has become the global lingua franca, serving as a critical medium for communication, education, business, and international relations (Crystal, 2019). In Thailand, English proficiency is recognized as an essential skill for academic success and career advancement (Richards, 2015). The Thai government has implemented national policies to improve English language teaching, including integration into the basic education curriculum and teacher development programs (Office of the Basic Education Commission [OBEC], 2019).

Despite these efforts, Thai students often struggle with practical communication in English. Many students perform well in grammar and vocabulary tests but lack confidence in speaking or using English in authentic contexts (Kirkpatrick, 2012). Traditional Thai classrooms tend to emphasize rote learning, memorization, and examination performance, which can hinder the development of communicative competence (Srichanyachon, 2018).

The Concept of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) emerged in the 1970s and 1980s as a response to the limitations of traditional grammar-translation and audio-lingual methods (Littlewood, 2014). CLT prioritizes the development of learners' communicative competence-the ability to convey and interpret messages effectively and appropriately in various contexts (Hymes, 1972).

Key features of CLT include:

- Emphasis on authentic communication and real-life language use
- Focus on meaning rather than solely on grammatical accuracy
- Use of interactive and task-based activities to promote language learning
- Encouragement of learner autonomy and participation

Studies have shown that CLT can improve students' speaking, listening, reading, and writing skills, as well as enhance motivation and engagement (Richards & Rodgers, 2014).

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is a learner-centered approach to language education that emphasizes the ability to communicate effectively in real-life contexts, rather than focusing solely on grammatical accuracy or rote memorization (Littlewood, 2014). Developed in the 1970s and 1980s as a response to the limitations of traditional grammar-translation and audio-lingual methods, CLT emerged from a growing recognition that language is primarily a tool for communication, and teaching should reflect this functional aspect (Richards & Rodgers, 2014).

Definition and Core Principles

CLT is defined as an approach that prioritizes communicative competence-the ability to convey and interpret messages appropriately and effectively in various contexts (Hymes, 1972). The core principles of CLT include:

1. **Emphasis on Communication**: Language learning activities are designed to enable learners to express meaning and engage in real-life communication.
2. **Task-Based Learning**: Students participate in tasks that simulate authentic language use, such as role-plays, interviews, discussions, and problem-solving activities (Ellis, 2003).
3. **Focus on Meaning over Form**: While grammar is important, CLT encourages learners to use language meaningfully and naturally, even if minor errors occur.
4. **Interactive Learning**: Learning is achieved through interaction with peers and teachers, promoting negotiation of meaning and collaborative problem-solving.
5. **Learner-Centered Approach**: Students' needs, interests, and backgrounds guide the selection of activities and materials, fostering autonomy and engagement (Brown, 2014).

Communicative Competence

CLT is grounded in the concept of communicative competence, introduced by Hymes (1972). Unlike traditional approaches that emphasize linguistic competence (grammar and vocabulary), communicative competence involves multiple dimensions:

Grammatical Competence: Knowledge of vocabulary, sentence structure, and syntax.

Sociolinguistic Competence: Understanding how to use language appropriately in different social contexts.

Discourse Competence: Ability to construct coherent and cohesive spoken or written texts.

Strategic Competence: Ability to overcome communication difficulties through strategies such as paraphrasing, asking for clarification, or using gestures.

Features of CLT

CLT promotes a dynamic and meaningful classroom environment. Its key features include:

1. Use of authentic materials such as newspapers, videos, advertisements, and real conversations.
2. Tasks and activities that replicate real-world communication situations.
3. Opportunities for students to interact and collaborate in pairs or groups.
4. Encouragement of negotiation of meaning and peer feedback.
5. Flexibility in teaching techniques, adapting to learners' levels and interests (Richards & Rodgers, 2014).

Advantages of CLT

Research indicates several benefits of implementing CLT:

Improved Communicative Skills: Students develop better speaking and listening abilities by engaging in meaningful interaction.

Increased Motivation and Engagement: Active participation and real-life relevance of tasks motivate learners to use English confidently.

Learner Autonomy: CLT encourages students to take responsibility for their learning and develop strategies to communicate effectively.

Cultural Awareness: Exposure to authentic materials and communication situations enhances students' understanding of different cultures (Phongpanich, 2016).

Challenges in CLT Implementation

Despite its advantages, implementing CLT can be challenging, particularly in contexts like Thailand, where traditional methods and examination-oriented education prevail. Challenges include:

1) Teachers' limited training in communicative techniques.

2) Large class sizes that hinder individual participation.

3) Students' reluctance to speak due to fear of making mistakes or cultural norms of teacher-centered classrooms (Nuangchalerm, 2017).

4) Limited access to authentic materials or technological resources.

Conclusion

CLT represents a shift from traditional, form-focused teaching to a learner-centered, interaction-oriented approach. By prioritizing communicative competence, CLT prepares students for real-life language use, enhances motivation, and encourages active participation. However, successful implementation depends on teacher readiness, appropriate classroom management, and institutional support. In the Thai context, CLT has the potential to improve English proficiency, provided that challenges related to resources, training, and cultural attitudes are addressed.

English Language Learning in Thailand

English has increasingly become a critical component of Thailand's education system due to its status as a global lingua franca. Proficiency in English is considered essential for higher education, career development, and international communication (Richards, 2015). Recognizing this, the Thai government has made efforts to integrate English into the national curriculum and enhance language teaching quality.

1. Historical and Policy Background

English language instruction in Thailand dates back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries, primarily in elite schools and for diplomatic purposes (Kirkpatrick, 2012). Over time, English education expanded to include public schools and became part of the Basic Education Core Curriculum. Policies such as the *National English Policy* aim to improve students' communicative competence and align English instruction with global standards (Office of the Basic Education Commission [OBEC], 2019).

2. Current Practices in English Teaching

In Thai schools, English is taught as a foreign language (EFL) with a strong focus on reading, writing, and grammar. Classroom activities traditionally emphasize memorization, translation, and exam preparation rather than practical communication (Srichanyachon, 2018). Typical practices include:

- Grammar drills and sentence pattern exercises
- Vocabulary memorization and spelling tests
- Reading comprehension exercises
- Writing essays or completing worksheets

Although these methods can develop linguistic knowledge, students often struggle with speaking and listening in authentic contexts. Consequently, their ability to use English effectively in real-life situations remains limited.

3. Challenges in English Language Learning

Several factors contribute to the difficulties Thai students face in achieving communicative competence:

- **Large Class Sizes**
- Many Thai classrooms have 40–60 students, making it difficult for teachers to provide individualized attention or facilitate active participation in speaking and listening activities (Nuangchalerm, 2017).
- **Proficiency and Training Teacher**
- Not all English teachers have high proficiency or adequate training in communicative approaches. Some rely heavily on traditional methods due to limited exposure to modern pedagogical techniques (Phongpanich, 2016).
- **Examination-Oriented Education**
- National and standardized tests often prioritize grammar and reading skills, leading teachers and students to focus on test preparation rather than communicative competence (Kirkpatrick, 2012).
- **Limited Exposure to Authentic English**
- Students have few opportunities to interact with native or fluent speakers and often lack access to multimedia resources, real-life materials, or technology that could facilitate authentic language use (Srichanyachon, 2018).
- **Cultural and Attitudinal Factors**
- Thai culture traditionally values respect for teachers and avoids open disagreement, which can lead to passive learning. Students may hesitate to speak or take risks in class, fearing mistakes or loss of face (Nuangchalerm, 2017).

4. Recent Developments and Innovations

In response to these challenges, Thailand has made efforts to adopt more communicative and technology-assisted approaches. These include:

1. **Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)**: Encouraging interaction, role-plays, and task-based learning.
2. **Mobile-Assisted Language Learning (MALL)**: Using smartphones and apps for language practice anytime and anywhere.
3. **Teacher Training Programs**: Professional development workshops focusing on modern pedagogical methods and communicative techniques (OBEC, 2019).
4. These initiatives aim to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical English use, although full implementation remains a work in progress.

5. Importance of English Learning in Thailand

6. Proficiency in English is crucial for Thai students to succeed in a globalized world. It enhances opportunities for higher education, employment, and international collaboration. Furthermore, improving English skills contributes to Thailand's competitiveness in the ASEAN region and the global economy (Kirkpatrick, 2012).

7. Conclusion

English language learning in Thailand has evolved significantly, yet challenges persist, particularly in developing communicative competence. While government policies and modern teaching approaches like CLT offer promising solutions, successful outcomes require teacher preparedness, classroom innovation, and supportive learning environments. Understanding the current context of English education in Thailand is essential for implementing effective teaching strategies and achieving meaningful language learning outcomes.

Relevance of CLT in Thai Classrooms

CLT offers a solution to the challenges of traditional Thai classrooms. By focusing on communicative competence and interactive activities, CLT encourages students to:

- Speak and listen actively in English
- Use English to solve real-life problems
- Develop confidence in communication
- Engage more deeply with learning materials

Research in Thailand has indicated positive outcomes when CLT is implemented, including higher student motivation, improved speaking skills, and greater classroom participation (Phongpanich, 2016). However, studies also highlight challenges such as teacher readiness, cultural attitudes toward classroom participation, and institutional constraints (Nuangchalerm, 2017).

Theoretical Framework

CLT is grounded in the communicative approach to language teaching, drawing on theories such as:

- **Hymes' Communicative Competence Theory (1972)**: Language use involves not only grammatical knowledge but also sociolinguistic and strategic competence.
- **Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory (1978)**: Language learning occurs through social interaction and scaffolding.
- **Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) principles**: Focus on completing meaningful tasks in the target language (Ellis, 2003).

These frameworks support the argument that active participation, collaboration, and meaningful communication are essential for effective language learning.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the theoretical benefits of CLT, its implementation in Thai classrooms remains inconsistent. Teachers may lack sufficient training in CLT methods, and educational policies often emphasize examination outcomes over communicative competence. Large class sizes, limited resources, and cultural norms favoring passive learning further constrain CLT practices (Phongpanich, 2016; Nuangchalerm, 2017).

Purpose of the Study

This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of CLT in Thai classrooms, focusing on:

- Its impact on students' communicative competence

- Teachers' perceptions and challenges in implementing CLT
- Strategies to enhance the effectiveness of CLT in the Thai educational context

Significance of the Study

The findings of this research are expected to benefit:

- **Teachers**, by providing insights into effective strategies for implementing CLT
- **Students**, by improving opportunities for authentic English communication
- **Policy makers**, by informing curriculum development and teacher training programs

8. Scope and Limitations

The study focuses on secondary school English classrooms in Thailand, examining both teacher practices and student outcomes. Limitations may include variability in teacher experience, school resources, and student proficiency levels, which may affect the generalizability of the findings.

9. Definition of Key Terms

- **Communicative Language Teaching (CLT):** A teaching approach emphasizing interaction, meaningful communication, and learner-centered activities.
- **Communicative Competence:** The ability to use language effectively and appropriately in various social contexts.
- **Authentic Materials:** Real-life texts, audio, or visual materials used to facilitate meaningful language learning.

Knowledge from Research

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) has gained recognition worldwide as an effective approach for developing learners' communicative competence. In the context of Thai classrooms, research has provided valuable insights into both the benefits and challenges of implementing CLT. Understanding this knowledge helps guide effective teaching strategies, curriculum design, and policy implementation.

1. Enhancement of Communicative Competence

A primary goal of CLT is to develop students' ability to use English effectively in real-life situations. Studies in Thailand have shown that classrooms employing CLT strategies result in noticeable improvements in students' speaking and listening skills (Phongpanich, 2016; Nuangchalerm, 2017). Students participating in role-plays, group discussions, and problem-solving tasks are more likely to produce spontaneous and meaningful language than those in traditional, grammar-focused classrooms.

Key knowledge: CLT fosters active communication, enabling learners to practice language in context and improve fluency and confidence.

2. Impact on Student Motivation and Engagement

Research indicates that CLT enhances student motivation by providing interactive, meaningful, and learner-centered activities (Srichanyachon, 2018). When students perceive the lessons as relevant to real-life communication, they participate more actively and are more willing to take risks in using English. Engagement in CLT classrooms is generally higher compared to traditional lecture-based settings.

Key knowledge: Incorporating CLT increases learners' intrinsic motivation, leading to more consistent and enthusiastic participation in language learning.

3. Teacher Perceptions and Implementation Challenges

While CLT offers substantial benefits, studies reveal that Thai teachers face challenges in fully implementing it. Common issues include:

1) Limited teacher training in communicative techniques (Phongpanich, 2016)
2) Large class sizes that restrict interaction opportunities (Nuangchalerm, 2017)
3) Pressure from examination-oriented curricula that emphasize grammar and reading skills over speaking and listening

Key knowledge: Teacher preparedness and institutional support are critical for the successful application of CLT. Without proper training and classroom management strategies, the effectiveness of CLT may be reduced.

4. Integration with Technology and Authentic Materials

CLT in Thai classrooms has shown higher effectiveness when combined with technology and authentic materials. Multimedia resources, online platforms, and real-life texts provide students with exposure to English beyond the textbook and promote meaningful communication (Srichanyachon, 2018).

Key knowledge: The use of technology and authentic materials enhances the practical application of language, reinforcing the communicative objectives of CLT.

5. Cultural Considerations

Cultural norms in Thailand influence both the implementation and outcomes of CLT. Thai students may be hesitant to speak or challenge ideas due to cultural values emphasizing respect for teachers and avoidance of mistakes in public settings (Nuangchalerm, 2017). Despite these challenges, careful adaptation of CLT strategies—such as small-group activities, pair work, and scaffolded speaking tasks—can overcome cultural barriers and encourage participation.

Key knowledge: Effective CLT implementation requires sensitivity to cultural contexts, with strategies that encourage participation while respecting social norms.

6. Evidence from Empirical Studies

Several empirical studies support the effectiveness of CLT in Thai classrooms:

- Phongpanich (2016) found that students engaged in CLT activities showed significant improvement in oral communication skills compared to those in traditional classrooms.
- Nuangchalerm (2017) reported that CLT increased classroom interaction, student confidence, and collaborative learning, though challenges such as large class sizes and limited resources were noted.
- Srichanyachon (2018) highlighted that the integration of authentic materials and interactive tasks under CLT enhanced student engagement and language retention.

Key knowledge: Evidence consistently demonstrates that CLT promotes language proficiency, engagement, and communicative competence when adapted to the Thai classroom context.

7. Conclusion

Knowledge of the effectiveness of CLT in Thai classrooms reveals that the approach:

- Significantly improves communicative competence, especially speaking and listening skills
- Enhances student motivation, engagement, and confidence
- Requires trained teachers, supportive environments, and culturally sensitive strategies
- Benefits from integration with technology and authentic materials

Overall, CLT has proven to be an effective method for English language learning in Thailand, provided that implementation challenges are addressed through teacher training, curriculum adaptation, and classroom innovation.

Conclusion

The implementation of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in Thai classrooms has demonstrated considerable potential in enhancing students' English proficiency, particularly in speaking and listening skills. Research indicates that CLT fosters communicative competence by prioritizing meaningful interaction, real-life language use, and learner-centered activities over traditional grammar-focused approaches (Phongpanich, 2016; Nuangchalerm, 2017).

CLT has been found to improve student motivation, engagement, and confidence, as learners are encouraged to participate actively in pair work, group discussions, and task-based activities (Srichanyachon, 2018). Moreover, integrating authentic materials and technology supports the practical application of language and bridges the gap between classroom learning and real-world communication.

However, the effectiveness of CLT in Thailand is influenced by several contextual factors. Large class sizes, limited teacher proficiency, and the pressure of examination-oriented curricula pose significant challenges to its full implementation. Cultural norms that discourage open classroom participation may also limit students' willingness to speak freely. Successful adoption of CLT, therefore, requires comprehensive teacher training, curriculum alignment with communicative goals, and classroom strategies adapted to cultural and institutional contexts.

In conclusion, CLT offers a valuable approach for improving English language learning in Thailand. When effectively implemented with appropriate support and resources, it can enhance communicative competence, foster learner engagement, and prepare students to use English confidently in real-life situations. Policymakers, educators, and

teachers should work collaboratively to address implementation challenges, ensuring that CLT becomes a sustainable and effective method for developing English proficiency across Thai classrooms.

References (Example)

Brown, H. D. (2014). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (6th ed.). Pearson Education.

Crystal, D. (2019). *The Cambridge encyclopedia of the English language* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford University Press.

Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics* (pp. 269–293). Penguin.

Kirkpatrick, A. (2012). *English as an international language in Asia: Implications for language education*. Springer.

Littlewood, W. (2014). *Communicative language teaching and its legacy*. In X. Gao (Ed.), *Second handbook of English language teaching* (pp. 507–522). Springer.

Nuangchalerm, P. (2017). Thai teachers' perceptions of communicative language teaching. *International Journal of Instruction, 10*(4), 87–102.

Office of the Basic Education Commission. (2019). *Basic education core curriculum B.E. 2551 (A.D. 2008) revised 2019*. Ministry of Education, Thailand.

Phongpanich, N. (2016). Implementation of communicative language teaching in Thai secondary schools: An analysis of teachers' perceptions. *Journal of Education Studies, 44*(2), 35–50.

Richards, J. C. (2015). *Key issues in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.

Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Srichanyachon, N. (2018). Problems of English language teaching in Thai classrooms. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 9*(6), 1–8.

Wongsothorn, A., Hiranburana, K., & Chinnawongs, S. (2018). English language teaching in Thailand today. In H. Coleman (Ed.), *Language and development: Asia-Pacific perspectives* (pp. 144–157). British Council.